

European Social Survey ERIC related studies form

The Related Studies section of the ESS website gives access to data relevant to the ESS user community but not part of the official ESS data and documentation. These studies have either fielded direct ESS questions, are developed in cooperation with the ESS, or have collected data with direct relevance to the ESS. All data collections meet the following methodological requirements:

1. The PI(s) and the fieldwork organization(s) subscribe to (an) ethical declaration(s).
2. The study adhered to national and/or international data protection regulations at the time of fieldwork.
3. Random probability methods were used at every stage of the sampling.
4. The data and documentation have been deposited at a national or international data archive.
5. The data file(s) which are publicly available to users have anonymized data only.
6. A questionnaire in English is available along the deposited data.
7. A documentation report with key parts of documentation/methodology (geographical coverage, universe included and excluded, mode(s) of data collection, sampling procedure(s), weighting, funders, access conditions) is available in English along with the deposited data.

PIs wishing for their study to be considered as a related study should complete the summary information form that follows.

Subject to the study's acceptance by ESS ERIC, the information provided in this document will be published on the ESS website (https://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/data/related_studies/) alongside a link to the study's archived data and documentation.

Studies wishing to be considered as a related study would normally be expected to discuss their intention with ESS ERIC prior to commencing fieldwork. Countries within the European Research Area would normally be expected to field the ESS as an ESS ERIC Member, Observer or Guest rather than as a related study.

ESS ERIC Related Study – Summary information

Please answer all questions in the Study section and questions 1 and 4 in the Mandatory criteria section (marked *). If more than two ESS modules were used in your questionnaire(s) (in addition to background variables), please fill in Question 7 separately for each module fielded.

1. Name of study: General Social Survey
2. PI(s) and responsible institution(s): Tom W. Smith. Michael Davern, Jeremy Freese, Stephen Morgan
3. Country and fieldwork period: United States, 1972-2018
4. Is/are (a) **Persistent identifier(s)** (for example DOI) assigned to the data file(s) [y/n]: No
PID code(s): None
5. **Mode(s) of administration**, main categories (tick all that apply).
 - Face to face
 - Telephone
 - Web self-completion
 - Paper self-completion
 - Other, specify:
6. **Background variables used** (section F in ESS questionnaires)
Number of background variables directly comparable to the ESS background variables: We have most of the same demographics as the ESS does, but not designed to be “directly comparable.”
Have ESS variable names been used? [y/n]: No
If no: Is a list of the variables and topics that are directly comparable available? [y/n] No
7. **ESS topic modules used**
For each topic module used:

Module name: Schwartz Human Values Scale; 2008 GSS panel, wave 3 in 2012
ESS round: All rounds
Number of variables directly comparable with the ESS variables: 21
Have ESS variable names been used? [y/n] No
If no: Is a list of the variables and topics that are directly comparable available? [y/n] Yes

Module name: Items from CES-Depression Scale; 2010 GSS panel, wave 2 in 2012
ESS round: ESS3 and ESS6 (Personal and Social Well-Being)
Number of variables directly comparable with the ESS variables: 5
Have ESS variable names been used? [y/n] No
If no: Is a list of the variables and topics that are directly comparable available? [y/n] Yes

Mandatory criteria confirmed by PI(s)

1. The PI(s) and the fieldwork organization(s) subscribe to (an) ethical declaration(s).
Which one(s)?*

AAPOR
2. The study adhered to national and/or international data protection regulations at the time of fieldwork.
3. Random probability methods were used at every stage of the sampling.
4. The data and documentation have been deposited at a national or international data archive.
Which one? Roper Center, ICPSR. NORC's GSS website. Web address: <http://gss.norc.org/>
5. The data file(s) which are publicly available to users have anonymized data only.
6. A questionnaire in English is available along the deposited data.
7. A documentation report with key parts of documentation/methodology (geographical coverage, universe included and excluded, mode(s) of data collection, sampling procedure(s), weighting, funders, access conditions) is available in English along with the deposited data.

This form is filled in by

Name: Tom W Smith

Position: PI and Director

who confirms that the study is compliant with all the mandatory criteria listed above.

Disclaimer

The ESS ERIC, Core Scientific Team (CST) and the producers bear no responsibility for the uses of these data, or for interpretations or inferences based on these uses. The ESS ERIC, CST and the producers accept no liability for indirect, consequential or incidental damages or losses arising from use of the data collection, or from the unavailability of, or break in access to the service for whatever reason. The CST do not guarantee comparability with the corresponding ESS data and urges data users to assess this carefully.